Applicant: Chimitt et al.

Application No.: 10/706,345

REMARKS

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-16, and 18-25 are pending in this application.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-9, 11-14, 16, and 18-25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as

being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0158836 to Venkatesh et al.

(hereinafter "Venkatesh"). Claims 3 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Venkatesh in view of well known art.

By the foregoing amendments, Applicants have amended claims 1, 14, and 23 to more

distinctly claim the subject matter that Applicants regard as the invention.

Venkatesh relates to a method and apparatus for managing access to a file system. A

client makes a request to access a file (paragraph 0061). The request is passed to a meta file

system manager (paragraph 0062). The meta file system manager searches for the object that

owns the file, and forwards the access request to the file's owner (paragraph 0063).

Venkatesh does not teach the inventions recited in independent claims 1, 9, 14, and 23 of

the present application. In particular, Venkatesh lacks the redirecting the initial IRP from the first

volume filter associated with the meta-data extent to a second volume filter associated with the at

least one data extent associated with the meta-data extent wherer the two or more extents are part

of a single logical data volume. Throughout Venkatesh, the teachings involve multiple file

systems being used together to create and maintain metadata and data files. A single data

volume is not presented as part of this system. Therefore, claim 1 of the present application is

distinguishable over Venkatesh.

- 8 -

PAGE 10/12 \* RCVD AT 8/9/2007 1:37:53 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/6 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:2159863090 \* DURATION (mm-ss):01-48

Applicant: Chimitt et al. Application No.: 10/706,345

Amended claims 9, 14 and 23 recite creating additional IRPs, and the arguments presented above in connection with claim 1 are also applicable to amended claims 9, 14 and 23, in that <u>Venkatesh</u> does not disclose creating additional IRPs using multiple volume filters within a single data volume presented to users and applications. Therefore, claims 9, 14 and 23 of the present application are distinguishable over <u>Venkatesh</u>.

Because the independent claims (i.e., claims 1, 9, 14, and 23) are distinguishable over the cited references, the dependent claims (i.e., claims 2-5, 7, 8, 11-13, 15, 16, 18-22, 24, and 25) are also distinguishable over the cited references without the need for additional discussion.

It is respectfully submitted that the amendments and remarks made herein place pending claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-16, and 18-25 in condition for allowance. Accordingly, entry of this amendment as well as reconsideration and allowance of pending claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-16, and 18-25 are respectfully requested.

12/12

Applicant: Chimitt et al. Application No.: 10/706,345

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on all these considerations and amendment, the applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the claims. If any issues remain that preclude issuance of this application, the Examiner is again urged to contact the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully Submitted,

DAVID CHIMITT ET AL

By his attorneys,

Richard J. Gregion Reg. No. 41,804

UNISYS CORPORATION Unisys Way, MS/E8-114 Blue Bell, PA 19424 (215) 986-3325

0120

Ву

**-** 10 -